



## **UCLA GMEC PROGRAM REVIEW POLICY**

#### **PURPOSE**

The ACGME's Institutional Requirements charge the GMEC with demonstrating effective oversight of programs to ensure compliance with ACGME and UCLA GME requirements. This process must include a protocol that:

- establishes criteria for identifying underperformance; and,
- results in a report that describes the quality improvement goals, the corrective actions, and the process for GMEC monitoring of outcomes.

#### SCOPE

This policy applies to all UCLA-sponsored ACGME-accredited residency and fellowship programs at risk for underperformance, violation of ACGME requirements and other special circumstances.

#### **POLICY**

#### Special Review

Criteria for initiating a special review include one or more of the following:

- A. ACGME Letter of Notification of Accreditation indicating:
  - 1. Accreditation with Warning
  - 2. Probation
  - 3. Proposed Withdrawal
- B. Inquiry from ACGME Office of Resident Affairs
- C. Annual ACGME Resident Survey identifying program mean less than 4.0 for the duty hour domain
- D. Annual ACGME Residents and/or Faculty Survey program means that fall below 3.0 in two or more domains excluding those domains in which the majority of the programs have less than 3.0.
- E. Trending data from Annual ACGME or GME Resident or Faculty Surveys indicating concerns in the learning environment

- F. Annual Program Evaluation and Improvement Plans that fail to satisfactorily address required elements, such as current citations, areas for improvement, and/or issues raised from prior Special Reviews.
- G. Two or more changes in Program Directors during the length of the training program.
- H. Request from the Designated Institutional Official, including review based on concerns raised by Residents or Faculty
- I. Request from the Program Director
- J. As per majority vote of the GMEC for all other circumstances

## Special Review may be deferred at the recommendation of the DIO with approval by the GMEC.

### **PROCEDURE**

- I. Special Reviews include the following components:
  - a. Analysis of the following documents:
    - 1. Documentation supporting program underperformance
    - 2. Most recent Letter of Notification
    - 3. Most recent ACGME Resident and Faculty Survey
    - 4. Most recent GME Resident and Faculty Survey
    - 5. Most recent Annual Program Evaluation and Improvement Plan
    - 6. Program Requirements in effect at the time of underperformance
    - 7. Additional documents appropriate to the criteria for underperformance as determined by the Special Review Committee
  - b. Interviews with those involved and/or potentially affected including Residents, Core Faculty, and other key individuals as identified
  - c. Review and discussion by the Special Review Committee resulting in recommendations and remediation action plans.
    - i. Action Plans will be developed by the program under review and will include recommendations to the Special Review Committee
    - ii. Action Plans must contain reporting structure, monitoring procedures, and implementation timelines.
    - iii. Actions Plans must include a description of the improvement goals, corrective actions, and the process for GMEC monitoring of outcomes.
- II. The Special Review Committee reports to the GMEC for approval of the proposed Action Plan, and for ongoing monitoring by the GMEC to ensure Action Plan completion and effectiveness.
- III. The Special Review Committee shall consist of a GMEC faculty representative, GMEC resident representative, and GME staff representative.

# FORMS SPECIAL PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT TEMPLATE

_	
I.	Program Identification
	Program: Accreditation Status: Next Self-study date: Resident complement: Date of Special Review Interviews: Date Special Review Report Approved by GMEC:
II.	Membership of Special Program Review Committee by name and position including year of training for any Resident/Fellow members:
III.	Names of individuals interviewed by name and position including year of training for peer-selected Residents/Fellows:
IV.	Materials Reviewed
	REQUIRED  Documentation supporting program underperformance Letter of Notification ACGME Resident and Faculty Survey GMEC Resident and Faculty Survey Annual Program Evaluation and Improvement Plan ACGME Program Requirements
	OPTIONAL (Check all applicable for this review)  Board Passing Rates  Block Diagrams  Case Logs  Conference Schedule  Evaluation Tools  GMEC Minutes  Goals and Objectives  Milestone Data  QI/PS projects and outcomes  Program Policies  Resident/Faculty Call Schedules  Resident Files  Other
٧.	Format of Interviews

### UCLA GMEC SPECIAL REVIEW POLICY

- VI. Circumstance(s) requiring Special Review
- VII. Status of corrective action(s) to Letter of Notification
- VIII. Status of corrective action(s) to ACGME and/or GME Resident/Faculty Surveys
- IX. Annual Program Evaluation and Improvement Plan
- X. Concerns identified by the Special Program Review Committee from materials reviewed and interviews that must be addressed to the GMEC in a written corrective action plan
- XI. Summary Statement
- XII. Recommendation for submission and GMEC monitoring of Program Director's corrective action plan to concerns identified in Section X of this report

#### CONFIDENTIALITY OF SPECIAL REVIEW DOCUMENTS

The Special Program Review is a peer-review activity conducted by the GMEC functioning as a Subcommittee of the Attending Staff Association and its Executive Committee. Each Special Program Review Committee member will be required to sign a statement of confidentiality.

#### REFERENCES

ACGME REQUIREMENTS (Institutional Requirements (I.B.6.)

I.B.6. The GMEC must demonstrate effective oversight of underperforming program(s) through a Special Review process. (Core)

I.B.6.a) The Special Review process must include a protocol that: (Core)

I.B.6.a).(1) establishes criteria for identifying underperformance; and, (Core)

I.B.6.a).(2) results in a report that describes the improvement goals, the corrective actions, and the process for GMEC monitoring of outcomes. (Core)

#### UCLA GMEC SPECIAL REVIEW POLICY

### CONTACT

Kate Perkins, MD, PhD Associate Dean for Graduate Medical Education, Designated Institutional Official David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California at Los Angeles

#### **REVISION HISTORY**

Effective Date: 06/25/2018

### **APPROVAL**

Graduate Medical Education Committee
David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California at Los Angeles

Kate Perkins, MD, PhD Associate Dean for Graduate Medical Education, Designated Institutional Official David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California at Los Angeles

Clarence Braddock, III, MD, MPH, MACP Chief Medical Education Officer of UCLA Health System, and Vice Dean for Education UCLA David Geffen School of Medicine