DOM DRA: ITEMS NOT REVIEWED

Revised December 1, 2022

Below are items that DOM DRA does NOT review when reviewing a grant proposal.

Reminder: It is the PI’s responsibility to review the entire proposal for accuracy.

**Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) Information**

- **Correct FOA:** Reviews will be based on the FOA selected by the FM in Cayuse/S2S.

- **PI Eligibility:** DOM DRA does NOT review for:
  - Eligibility as per the FOA requirements.
  - Eligibility for NIH Continuous Submission privileges.
  - PI ORCID IDs linked to NIH Commons account (currently required for NIH Ks).

**SF424 RR**

- **Item 4.a. Federal Identifier:** For resubmission/renewals/revisions, DOM DRA does not review for accuracy of the federal identifier number. The FM needs to confirm with PI that the federal identifier is correct.

- **Item 8 Type of Application:** It is the responsibility of the PI and FM to determine the appropriate proposal application type.
  - PIs can submit one Resubmission application using the same FOA.
  - If the PI is submitting an additional application, or changing FOAs, then the application must be submitted as a New application.

**Performance Sites**

- FM needs to assure the lab address is current and accurate.

**Key Persons Section**

- **Position/Title:** We do not review to assure the accuracy of the faculty member’s Position/Title. The FM should verify current title in UCPath or with the MSO.

- **Credential, e.g., agency login:** PI and FM need to assure the information is correct in order to avoid receiving an error during Sponsor validation.
  - **NIH eRA Commons ID:**
    - FM needs to assure Commons ID for PI is associated with UCLA (especially for new UCLA faculty who have transferred from another university).
    - FM needs to assure the role of PI is assigned to PI’s Commons ID (especially for young faculty who may have previously been post-docs and/or trainees).

**Scientific Sections (Abstract, Project Narrative, Research Plan, Human Subjects, etc.)**

- **Assuring no prior grant information is included anywhere within a ‘New’ proposal**
  - Example: this application was submitted as New, but Project Summary states, “The proposal is a continuation of a project within a Program Project Grant (HLXXXXX, J. Bruin, PI). The NHLBI recently ruled that such PPGs cannot extend beyond 2 cycles and, therefore, we seek R01 funds to continue our work.

- **Scientific material or its contents.**

- **Letters of Support (LOS):** DRA does not review LOS to assure compliance with NIH policy.
- PI and FM must determine the appropriate Human Subjects usage (e.g. human subject data only vs. Exemption #4 vs. full IRB required)

**Budget & Justification**
- **On or Off Campus:** DRA checks current On or Off campus rate is correctly listed (26% or 56%), but does not check if the performance site is accurately categorized as On or Off Campus.
- **Salary Verification:** FM must assure accurate salary information.
- **Benefit Calculation:** FM must assure the composite benefit rate (CBR) used is accurate based on the category for each individual.
- **Justification:** DRA will provide a cursory review of the breakdown of expenses to assure the expenses add up to the totals and match the budget amount. If there are extended tables containing a very detailed itemized breakdown of expenses, DRA does not review to assure accuracy.

**Overall**
- **Hyperlinks/Hypertexts:** Hypertexts is restricted by the NIH; only allowing them in very specific documents, such as publication list in section C of the bioskech. DRA will provide a general review of various documents but it is the responsibility of the PI and FM to ensure compliance.

**Internal Documents**
- **EPASS:** DRA does not review if the COI date is accurate.
- **PI Exception status check:** DRA will check PI Exception Letter is attached if EPASS states it is required, but DRA does not check if PI category is a Policy 900 eligible PI.

Please contact Raellen Man (rman@mednet.ucla.edu) if you have any questions regarding this policy.